UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DELBERT M. GREENE,

Case No. 2:19-cv-01529-APG-NJK

Plaintiff.

ORDER

v.

STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

Defendants.

This action began with a *pro se* civil rights complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by a state prisoner. Plaintiff has submitted an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Docket No. 1. Based on the financial information provided, the Court finds that Plaintiff is unable to prepay the full filing fee in this matter.

The Court issued a screening order on February 18, 2021 which, in addition to screening the complaint, imposed a stay pending mediation. Docket No. 13. The Court ordered that, pending further order, the parties could not file any papers or pleadings in this case. *Id.* at 8. Further, the Court entered a subsequent order in which the parties were assigned to mediation by a court-appointed mediator. Docket No. 17. Despite the Court's order prohibiting the parties from filing pleadings during the stay, Plaintiff nevertheless filed a motion for appointment of counsel, in violation of the Court's order. Docket No. 22. Plaintiff is instructed to read and comply with the Court's orders.

Even if Plaintiff had not filed his motion for appointment of counsel in violation of the Court's order, however, the Court still would deny the motion. A litigant does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in civil rights actions. *Storseth v. Spellman*, 654 F.2d 1349, 1353 (9th Cir. 1981). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), "[t]he court may request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel." However, the court will appoint counsel for indigent civil litigants only in "exceptional circumstances." *Palmer v. Valdez*, 560

F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). "When determining whether 'exceptional circumstances' exist, a court must consider 'the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the petitioner to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." *Id.* "Neither of these considerations is dispositive and instead must be viewed together." *Id.* Here, Plaintiff has not demonstrated exceptional circumstances. There has not been any evidence introduced in the record in this case and it would be premature for the Court to determine whether Plaintiff has a likelihood of success. Furthermore, it appears that Plaintiff is just as capable as the typical *pro se* prisoner of articulating his claims and this case is not overly complex.

The Office of the Attorney General has filed a status report indicating that settlement has not been reached and informing the Court of its intent to proceed with this action. Docket No. 23.

For the foregoing reasons, **IT IS ORDERED** that:

- 1. Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, Docket No. 1, is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff shall not be required to pay an initial installment of the filing fee. In the event that this action is dismissed, the full filing fee must still be paid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).
- 2. The movant herein is permitted to maintain this action to conclusion without the necessity of prepayment of any additional fees or costs or the giving of security therefor. This order granting leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* shall not extend to the issuance and/or service of subpoenas at government expense.
- 3. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, as amended by the Prison Litigation Reform Act, the Nevada Department of Corrections will forward payments from the account of Delbert M. Greene, #78744 to the Clerk of the United States District Court, District of Nevada, 20% of the preceding month's deposits (in months that the account exceeds \$10.00) until the full \$350 filing fee has been paid for this action. The Clerk of the Court will send a copy of this order to the Finance Division of the Clerk's Office. The Clerk will send a copy of this order to the attention of **Chief of Inmate Services for the Nevada Department of Corrections,** P.O. Box 7011, Carson City, NV 89702.
- 4. The Clerk of the Court shall electronically **SERVE** a copy of this order and a copy of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Docket No. 11, on the Office of the Attorney General of

the State of Nevada by adding the Attorney General of the State of Nevada to the docket sheet. This does not indicate acceptance of service.

- 5. Service must be perfected no later than August 16, 2021, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).
- 6. Subject to the findings of the screening order, Docket No. 13, no later than June 8, 2021, the Attorney General's Office shall file a notice advising the Court and Plaintiff of: (a) the names of the defendants for whom it accepts service; (b) the names of the defendants for whom it does not accept service, and (c) the names of the defendants for whom it is filing the last-known-address information under seal. As to any of the named defendants for whom the Attorney General's Office cannot accept service, it shall file, under seal, but shall not serve the inmate Plaintiff the last known address(es) of those defendant(s) for whom it has such information. If the last known address of the defendant(s) is a post office box, the Attorney General's Office shall attempt to obtain and provide the last known physical address(es).
- 7. If service cannot be accepted for any of the named defendant(s), Plaintiff shall file a motion identifying the unserved defendant(s), requesting issuance of a summons, and specifying a full name and address for the defendant(s). For the defendant(s) as to which the Attorney General has not provided last-known-address information, Plaintiff shall provide the full name and address for the defendant(s).
- 8. If the Attorney General accepts service of process for any named defendant(s), such defendant(s) shall file and serve an answer or other response to the First Amended Complaint, Docket No. 11, no later than July 19, 2021.
- 9. Plaintiff shall serve upon defendant(s) or, if an appearance has been entered by counsel, upon their attorney(s), a copy of every pleading, motion or other document submitted for consideration by the Court. If Plaintiff electronically files a document with the Court's electronic-filing system, no certificate of service is required. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d)(1)(B); Nev. Loc. R. IC 4-1(b); Nev. Loc. R. 5-1. However, if Plaintiff mails the document to the Court, Plaintiff shall include with the original document submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the defendants or counsel for the defendants. If

counsel has entered a notice of appearance, Plaintiff shall direct service to the individual attorney named in the notice of appearance, at the physical or electronic address stated therein. The Court may disregard any document received by a district judge or magistrate judge which has not been filed with the Clerk, and any document received by a district judge, magistrate judge, or the Clerk which fails to include a certificate showing proper service when required.

- 10. Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel, Docket No. 22, is **DENIED**.
- 11. This case is no longer stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 18, 2021.

NANCY J. KOPPE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE